I. Call to Order
II. Opening Roll Call
III. Approval of the Agenda
IV. Approval of the Previous Minutes
   a. Minutes from the 14th meeting on December 13th, 2016 (Sent via email)
V. Guest Speakers
VI. Community Concerns
VII. Executive Communications
VIII. Report of the Speaker
IX. Representative Reports
   a. Max Rothman
   b. Seth Schostak
X. Committee Reports
   a. Rules Committee
   b. Finance Committee
   c. Communications Committee
   d. Resolutions Committee (Report Attached)
XI. Election and Recall of Members
   a. Confirmation of Kevin Yang as Student Organization Funding Commission (SOFC) Chair
   b. Election Tie-Breaker for College of Architecture and Urban Planning Representative
XII. New Business
   a. A.R. 6-029: A Resolution to Encourage the University of Michigan to Recognize Indigenous People’s Day
XIII. Old Business
   a. A.R. 6-023: A Resolution to Amend the Operating Procedures to Streamline Time Extension Rules
   b. A.R. 6-024: A Resolution to Amend the Election Code to Reduce the UEC Burden of Persuasion
   c. A.R. 6-025: A Resolution to Amend the Election Code to Require Write-In Candidates to Consent to be Elected
   d. A.R. 6-026: A Resolution to Support Moving City Council Elections From August Primaries to During the Academic Year
   e. A.R. 6-027: A Resolution to Call on President Obama to Take Action to Protect DACA Immigrant Youth
XIV. Motions and Other Business
XV. Announcements and Matters Arising
XVI. Closing Roll Call
XVII. Adjournment
Executive Communications for 1/10 Assembly Meeting:

David Schafer:
- Mandatory Bystander Intervention Training for student orgs applying for >$1000 funding from SOFC

Noah Betman:
- Cabinet meetings on Thursdays @ 6PM this semester
- Constitutional Convention meetings on Sundays @ 1:30-3PM

Nadine Jawad:
- Public service/civic engagement opportunities fair- Need help!
A RESOLUTION TO ENCOURAGE THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN TO RECOGNIZE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE’S DAY

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan resides on land that historically belonged to Native Americans¹; AND

WHEREAS, some Native American tribes still exist² throughout Michigan; AND

WHEREAS, the county in which the University of Michigan resides, Washtenaw County, presently recognizes the second Monday in the month of October as Indigenous People’s Day³, AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan resides on land sold by Native Americans as a land gift⁴; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan launched its DEI strategic plan on October 6, 2016⁵; AND

WHEREAS, recognition of Indigenous People’s Day, as well as the historical connection between Native Americans and the University of Michigan;

THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that Central Student Government encourages the University of Michigan to recognize Indigenous People’s Day of the second Monday of October on all calendars distributed by the University of Michigan; AND BE IT

FINALLY

RESOLVED, that Central Student Government will forward this resolution to The Michigan Daily, The Detroit News, The Detroit Free Press, the Division of Student Life, and the Office of the Registrar.

Authors

¹ http://www.umich.edu/~bhumrec/programs_centers/artsofcitizenshipprogram/www.artsofcitizenship.umich.edu/sos/topics/native/index.htm
² http://www.native-languages.org/michigan.htm
⁴ http://www.umich.edu/~aium/about.html
⁵ https://diversity.umich.edu/?features=u-m-to-launch-dei-strategic-plan-on-oct-6-with-day-full-of-interactive-events
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A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE OPERATING PROCEDURES TO STREAMLINE
TIME EXTENSION RULES

WHEREAS, the Assembly rules regarding the extension of speaking time limits, both
the total time and number of extension permitted, are mostly set on a case
by case basis leaving a patchwork of rules of extending time AND

WHEREAS, having limits such as permitting a total extension of three minutes but
requiring it to be done in two votes for one and a half minute expansions
interrupts the flow of meetings; AND

WHEREAS, several other sections of the agenda do not currently have the option for
time extension or have over-restrictive time limits that, in the view of the
authors, need simplification; THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that the following changes be made to Chapter VII §B(5) to permit any
number of motions to extend time so long as the total amount of time
given in these motions does not exceed the total limit for extend time on
that business:

4. A motion to extend time for an order of business shall be for an
amount of time not exceeding half the stated time limit for that business
unless otherwise noted the remaining amount of extension time
permitted for that business. Given a time limit of x that can be
extended n times, the maximum allowable time with extensions for that
business shall be x + n²(0.5²x) n number of motions to extend time, the
sum of all the time, t_n, added by each motion including the proposed
motion, c, must be less than or equal T_{ext}, the maximum time by
which an order of business can be extended: \[ \sum_{n=1}^{c} t_n \leq T_{ext}. \]

AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the following rule be added under Chapter VII §D(5) and the
succeeding rules be numbered accordingly to set a default time limit to
extended time that will be in place unless a different amount is specified
for an individual business.

5. Total extended time for an order of business cannot be greater
than the time originally allocated for said business, unless a different
limit is otherwise specifically noted.

AND BE IT FURTHER
RESOLVED, that the specific wording regarding the number of extensions each individual time limit can have be struck from the following sections:

Chapter VII §K(5), §L(5), §M(2), §N(2), §O(3), §O(6), §P(3), §T(2).

Chapter XII §D(9)

AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the following text be added to Chapter VII §M(2) to specifically state how long a Chair of an Executive Commission has to give a report:

2. … any Chair of an Executive Commission, or his or her their designee, may also make an official report to the Assembly, lasting up to 5 minutes, and may inform the Speaker or the Vice Speaker of their intention.

AND BE IT FINALLY

RESOLVED, that the clause in Chapter VII §O(5) be struck and the following changes be made to §O(3), §O(4):

3. A Representative may speak for up to five minutes. The Assembly may extend the time allotted once by a simple majority vote. This time may not be yielded to anyone other than a fellow Representative, except to ask questions.

4. There shall be an additional three minutes allotted for questions following the Report of a Representative. This time may be yielded to anyone.
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RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE ELECTION CODE TO REDUCE THE UEC BURDEN OF PERSUASION

WHEREAS, The University Election Committee is charged with hearing assessing elections complaints and determining if demerits ought to be issued AND

WHEREAS, the burden of proof threshold beyond a reasonable doubt is very difficult to prove and is typically only applied in criminal proceedings and has been shown to be very difficult to arrive at in regards to election complaints, while the threshold of clear and convincing evidence is less strict but still a greater degree of believability must be met than the common standard of proof in civil actions, a preponderance of the evidence; THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that Article VI. § C(4) of the Compiled Code be amended to state:

Burden of Persuasion. At all stages of the complaint process, the UEC and the CSJ shall assume that the allegations set forth in the complaint are not true. At all stages, the petitioner shall have the burden of proof of showing that the allegations set forth in the complaint are true beyond a reasonable doubt with clear and convincing evidence. If a UEC decision is appealed to the CSJ, questions of fact are reviewed using a clearly erroneous standard and questions of law are reviewed using a de novo standard.
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A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE ELECTION CODE TO REQUIRE WRITE-IN CANDIDATES TO CONSENT TO BE ELECTED

WHEREAS, currently, successful write-in candidates are automatically seated regardless of whether they express acceptance of that position or not;

AND

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the author that a person should not be forced to hold a position they do not want to; and write-in candidates should be required to willingly accept their election and confirm they want to take the position rather than be automatically given it; THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that Article VI. § G(2)b of the Complied Code be amended to state:

b. Once unofficial results have been released the Election Director shall notify via email elected write-in candidates of their election to their CSG position pending certification of the election results, and state that written acceptance of their election to said CSG position is required if the candidate wants to be seated.

AND BE IT FINALLY

RESOLVED that the following text be added as Article VI. § G(2)c of the Complied Code:

c. After being notified by the Election Director of their successful election, write-in candidates must provide written acceptance of their elected position to the Election Director via email or another appropriate method. If the candidate declines the position or does not provide written acceptance within 10 days of the UEC certifying the results, the seat will be left vacant.
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A RESOLUTION TO ENCOURAGE THE CITY OF ANN ARBOR TO ADOPT NON-PARTISAN NOVEMBER ELECTIONS

WHEREAS, 44,718 students were enrolled at the University of Michigan in Fall 2016\(^1\), making them a key constituency in Ann Arbor; AND

WHEREAS, the United States Census Bureau estimates the population of Ann Arbor to be 117,070 as of 2015\(^2\); AND

WHEREAS, turnout in the 2016 Presidential election at the four precincts that feature many student voters ranged from 42.8% to 49.71%\(^3\); AND

WHEREAS, Ann Arbor is one of only three cities in the state of Michigan that has partisan primary elections and general elections in November, Ionia and Ypsilanti being the other two;\(^4\) AND

WHEREAS, students, one of the largest constituencies in Ann Arbor, are largely unable to vote in the August Primary elections as most students are not on campus during the summer; AND

WHEREAS, turnout in the City Council primary elections is exceptionally low, ranging from 10.43% in 2013 to 10.46% in 2015\(^4\); AND

WHEREAS, civic engagement is an ideal of the University of Michigan as evidenced by initiatives such as the Voice Your Vote Commission and the work of the Edward Ginsberg Center; AND

WHEREAS, Central Student Government seeks to foster a stronger relationship between the University of Michigan and the city of Ann Arbor; AND

WHEREAS, the Ann Arbor City Council rejected a proposal to move their elections to a nonpartisan November election on July 7, 2016 by a vote of 7-4\(^5\);

THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, CSG encourages the adoption of non-partisan November elections in the City of Ann Arbor; AND BE IT FINALLY

\(^1\) http://www.ro.umich.edu/report/16enrollmentsummary.pdf
\(^2\) http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045215/2603000
\(^3\) https://www.michigandaily.com/section/election/greater-student-turnout-could-shifted-state-towards-clinton-narrow-michigan-race
\(^4\) https://electionresults.ewashtenaw.org/electionreporting/aug2015/index.jsp
\(^5\) http://annarborchronicle.com/2012/06/17/column-lets-put-life-into-city-elections/

RESOLVED, that the authors of this resolution will present this resolution to the Ann Arbor City Council.
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A RESOLUTION TO CALL ON PRESIDENT OBAMA TO TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT DACA IMMIGRANT YOUTH

WHEREAS, The Central Student Government stands in proud support of the rights of immigrant and international students and communities, and has recently passed resolutions to support making UM a sanctuary campus for immigrants1, and declaring CSG’s support for the continuation of the DACA program2; AND

WHEREAS, In June 2012, President Obama enacted a new immigration plan through an Executive Order, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) that offered about two million undocumented youth a new and special legal status that offered new rights and opportunities, such as the right to obtain a driver’s license, a work permit, a social security number that could be used to apply for grants and scholarships, and the ability to leave the country and return free from detention, and protection from deportation while enrolled.3

WHEREAS, To qualify for DACA, undocumented youth had to have come to America as children, resided in the United States continuously since 2007, and be either a high school student or someone who received a GED or high school diploma.4 Applying for DACA required giving the government extensive personal and familial information, including school records, addresses, fingerprints and a DNA sample.5; AND

WHEREAS, DACA applicants relied on the promises of President Obama and his administration that the data they provided would never be used against them or their families, and took this risk in order to advance their own futures as well as their families and their communities. In his 2012 speech announcing the creation of the DACA program, President Obama said: "It makes no sense to expel talented young people, who, for all intents and purposes, are Americans…because of the inaction of politicians…And as long as I’m President, I will not give up on this issue…because we are a better nation than one that

---

1 https://umcsg.files.wordpress.com/2016/09/a-r-5-048.pdf
2 https://csg.umich.edu/2016/12/08/in-support-of-daca/
3 http://unitedwedream.org/about/projects/deferred-action/
5 https://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/consideration-deferred-action-childhood-arrivals-daca#filing%20process
expels innocent young kids"; AND

WHEREAS, One of Donald Trump’s election promises was to immediately eliminate DACA, and deport 3 million immigrants, leaving DACA youth the most vulnerable because the government already has all the information they need to find and deport them; AND

WHEREAS, It is the opinion of the authors that it is the responsibility of President Obama to keep his promise to the DACA recipients by taking action to provide them protection while he still has the power to do so; AND

WHEREAS, President Obama can use the same Executive Powers he used to create DACA to protect the more than 750,000 DACA enrollees from deportation. He has a number of ways he could do this. He could grant full amnesty and change their status to permanent resident and give them their green cards now, since they already satisfy the requirements for permanent residency. Or Obama could issue a Presidential Pardon to all the DACA enrollees as a group as President Carter did for 200,000 draft dodgers after the Vietnam War. The president’s power to pardon is granted by Article II, Section 2, Clause 1 of the Constitution; AND

WHEREAS, President Schlissel is one of the 510 college and university presidents who have signed onto a letter calling for the continuation of DACA; AND

WHEREAS, Sixty-four members of Congress and many other elected officials have signed a letter calling on President Obama to pardon DACA recipients

WHEREAS, In 2006, massive immigrant rights marches and student walkouts shut down schools, factories, universities, docks and transportation. That movement prevented the passing of an anti-immigrant bill HR4437, which would have criminalized undocumented people and anyone associated with them who had not turned them in to ICE. It is the

---

6 https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2012/06/15/remarks-president-immigration
7 http://www.pbs.org/newshour/updates/donald-trumps-10-point-immigration-plan/
8 http://usgovinfo.about.com/od/thepresidentandcabinet/fl/Presidential-Pardons-Legal-Guidelines.htm
https://www.justice.gov/pardon/vietnam-war-era-pardon-instructions
opinion of the authors that the Central Student Government of the University of Michigan passing a resolution calling upon President Obama to use his executive power to pardon DACA youth would strengthen our capacity as a campus community to defend undocumented students and residents from mass deportations and attacks.

**THEREFORE BE IT**

**RESOLVED,** The Central Student Government calls on President Obama to use his Presidential powers to protect DACA youth from Donald Trump’s stated plan to deport the 750,000 immigrant students, youth, and young adults in DACA; **AND BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** The authors will send this resolution to the White House, the Michigan Daily, Detroit News and Free Press, LA Times, New York Times and other relevant news outlets to publicize the University of Michigan Central Student Government’s support for the widespread movement to defend DACA youth.
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